

**ICYS**

# International Conference of Young Scientists

## Oral presentation

**Title of the project:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Name of presenter/teammate(s):** \_\_\_\_\_

**Country:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Juror:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Date:** \_\_\_\_\_

<b>Nr.</b>	<b>Categories</b>	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Points</b>
<b>1.</b>	<b>Project evaluation</b>  Quality of justification and motivation for the chosen topic. Originality and relevance of the research problem. Clarity of research objectives. Difficulty of the project. Suitability for a student project.		<b>scale: 0 – 10</b>
<b>2.</b>	<b>Scientific quality of implementation</b>  Appropriateness of methods and tools applied. Level of scientific / technical difficulty.		<b>scale: 0 – 10</b>

	<p>Reliability and significance of obtained results.</p> <p>Strength and coherence of scientific arguments.</p> <p>Transparency and reproducibility of the research process.</p>		
<b>3.</b>	<p><b>Presentation and Delivery</b></p> <p>Logical structure and clarity of the presentation.</p> <p>Effectiveness of visuals (slides, graphs, figures).</p> <p>Verbal communication (language accuracy, terminology, emphasis).</p> <p>Non-verbal communication (language accuracy, terminology, pace, emphasis).</p> <p>Appropriateness of the presentation pace.</p> <p>Utilization of the available time.</p>		<b>scale: 0 – 10</b>
<b>4.</b>	<p><b>Discussion</b></p> <p>Depth of subject-matter knowledge.</p> <p>Demonstrated understanding of underlying concepts.</p> <p>Ability of critical reflect on results and limitations.</p> <p>Understanding of the judges' questions.</p> <p>Quality of responses to audience/ jury questions.</p>		<b>scale: 0 – 10</b>

	<b>Sum of points</b>		
	<b>Comments</b>		

---

**signature**